

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Express Mail Label Number: EL413916485US

Date of Deposit: November 26, 1999

Person making Deposit: Allen C. Turner

APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT

for

**PRODUCTION OF VACCINES**

Inventors:

Maria Grazia Pau  
Alphonsus G. C. M. UytdeHaag

Attorney:  
Allen C. Turner  
Registration No. 33,041  
TRASK, BRITT & ROSSA  
P.O. Box 2550  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110  
(801) 532-1922

Title: Production of vaccines.

#### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to the development and manufacturing of vaccines. In particular the invention relates to the field of production of viral proteins and/or viruses, more in particular to the use of a mammalian cell, preferably a human cell for the production of viruses growing in eukaryotic, preferably mammalian and in particular human cells. The invention is particularly useful for the production of vaccines to aid in protection against viral pathogens for vertebrates, in particular mammals and especially humans. Means and methods are disclosed herein for producing a virus and/or viral protein in a (human) cell, preferably using a defined synthetic medium, and for purifying the virus and/or components thereof from the cell and/or culture medium. Pharmaceutical compositions containing virus or its components and methods for manufacturing and recovering and/or purifying them are provided.

#### BACKGROUND

Vaccination is the most important route of dealing with viral infections. Although a number of antiviral agents is available, typically these agents have limited efficacy. Administering antibodies against a virus may be a good way of dealing with viral infections once an individual is infected (passive immunisation) and typically human or humanised antibodies do seem promising for dealing with a number of viral infections, but the most efficacious and safe way of dealing with virus infection is and probably will be prophylaxis through active immunisations. Active immunisation is generally referred to as vaccination and vaccines comprise at least one antigenic determinant of a virus, preferably a number of different antigenic determinants of at least one virus, e.g. by incorporating in the vaccine at least one viral polypeptide or protein derived from a virus (subunit vaccins). Typically the formats mentioned so far include

adjuvants in order to enhance an immune response. This also is possible for vaccines based on whole virus, e.g. in an inactivated format. A further possibility is the use of live, but attenuated forms of the pathogenic virus. A further  
5 possibility is the use of wild-type virus, e.g. in cases where adult individuals are not in danger from infection, but infants are and may be protected through maternal antibodies and the like. Production of vaccines is not always an easy procedure. In some cases the production of viral material is  
10 on eggs, which leads to difficult to purify material and extensive safety measures against contamination, etc. Also production on bacteria and or yeasts, which sometimes but not always is an alternative for eggs requires many purification and safety steps. Production on mammalian cells would be an  
15 alternative, but mammalian cells used so far all require for instance the presence of serum and/or adherence to a solid support for growth. In the first case again purification and safety and e.g. the requirement of protease to support the replication of some viruses become an issue. In the second  
20 case high yields and ease of production become a further issue. The present invention overcomes at least a number of the problems encountered with the production systems for production of viruses and/or viral proteins for vaccine purposes of the systems of the prior art.

25

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Thus the invention provides a method for producing a virus and/or viral proteins other than adenovirus or adenoviral proteins for use as a vaccine comprising providing a cell  
30 with at least a sequence encoding at least one gene product of the E1 gene or a functional derivative thereof of an adenovirus, providing said cell with a nucleic acid encoding said virus or said viral proteins, culturing said cell in a suitable medium and allowing for propagation of said virus or  
35 expression of said viral proteins and harvesting said virus and/or viral proteins from said medium and/or said cell.

Until the present invention there are few, if any (human) cells that have been found suitable to produce viruses and/or viral proteins for use as vaccines in any reproducible and upsacleable manner and/or sufficiently high yields and/or  
5 easily purifiabile. We have now found that cells which comprise adenoviral E1 sequences, preferably in their genome are capable of sustaining the propagation of viruses in significant amounts.

The preferred cell according to the invention is derived from  
10 a human primary cell, preferably a cell which is immortalised by a gene product of said E1 gene. In order to be able to grow a primary cell of course needs to be immortalized. A good example of such a cell is one derived from a human embryonic retinoblast.

15 In cells according to the invention it is important that the E1 gene sequences are not lost during the cell cycle. It is therefore preferred that said sequence encoding at least one gene product of the E1 gene is present in the genome of said (human) cell. For reasons of safety care is best taken to  
20 avoid unnecessary adenoviral sequences in the cells according to the invention. It is thus another embodiment of the invention to provide cells that do not produce adenoviral structural proteins. However, in order to achieve large scale (continuous) virus production through cell culture it is  
25 preferred to have cells capable of growing without needing anchorage. The cells of the present invention have that capability. To have a clean and safe production system from which it is easy to recover and, if desirable, to purify the virus, it is preferred to have a method according to the  
30 invention, whereby said human cell comprises no other adenoviral sequences. The most preferred cell for the methods and uses of the invention is PER.C6 as deposited under ECACC no. 96022940, or a derivative thereof.

Thus the invention provides a method using a cell according  
35 to the invention, wherein said cell further comprises a sequence encoding E2A or a functional derivative or analogue

or fragment thereof, preferably a cell wherein said sequence encoding E2A or a functional derivative or analogue or fragment thereof is present in the genome of said human cell and most preferably a cell wherein said E2A encoding sequence  
5 encodes a temperature sensitive mutant E2A.

Furthermore, as stated the invention also provides a method according to the invention wherein said (human) cell is capable of growing in suspension.

The invention also provides a method wherein said human cell  
10 can be cultured in the absence of serum. The cells according to the invention, in particular PER.C6 has the additional advantage that it can be cultured in the absence of serum or serum components. Thus isolation is easy, safety is enhanced and reliability of the system is good (synthetic media are  
15 the best in reproduceability). The human cells of the invention and in particular those based on primary cells and particularly the ones based on HER cells, are capable of normal post and peritranslational modifications and assembly. This means that they are very suitable for preparing viral  
20 proteins and viruses for use in vaccines.

Thus the invention provides a method according to the invention, wherein said virus and/or said viral proteins comprise a protein that undergoes post-translational and/or peritranslational modification, especially wherein said  
25 modifications comprise glycosylation. A good example of a viral vaccine that has been cumbersome to produce in any reliable manner is influenza vaccine. The invention provides a method according the invention wherein said viral proteins comprise at least one of an Influenza virus neuramidase  
30 and/or a haemagglutinin. Other viral proteins (subunits) and viruses (wild-type to be inactivated) or attenuated viruses that can be produced in the methods according to the invention include enterovirus, such as rhinovirus, aphtovirus, or poliomyelitisvirus, herpesvirus, such as  
35 herpes simplex virus, pseudorabies virus or bovine herpes virus, orthomyxovirus, such as influenza virus, a

paramyxovirus, such as newcastle disease virus, respiratory syncytio virus, mumps virus or a measles virus, retrovirus, such as human immunodeficiency virus or a parvovirus or a papovavirus, rotavirus or a coronavirus, such as

5 transmissible gastroenteritisvirus or a flavivirus, such as tick-borne encephalitis virus or yellow fever virus, a togavirus, such as rubella virus or eastern-, western-, or venezuelean equine encephalomyelitis virus, a hepatitis causing virus, such as hepatitis A or hepatitis B virus, a

10 pestivirus, such as hog cholera virus or a rhabdovirus, such as rabies virus.

The invention also provides the use of a human cell having a sequence encoding at least one E1 protein of an adenovirus or a functional derivative, homologue or fragment thereof in its

15 genome which cell does not produce structural adenoviral proteins for the production of a virus, or at least one viral protein for use in a vaccine. Of course for such a use the cells preferred in the methods according to the invention are also preferred. The invention also provides the products

20 resulting from the methods and uses according to the invention, especially viral proteins and viruses obtainable according to those uses and/or methods, especially when brought in a pharmaceutical composition comprising suitable excipients and in some formats (inactivated viruses,

25 subunits) adjuvants. Dosage and ways of administration can be sorted out through normal clinical testing in as far as they are not yet available through the already registered vaccines.

Thus the invention also provides a virus or a viral protein

30 for use in a vaccine obtainable by a method or by a use according to the invention, said virus or said viral being free of any non-human mammalian protenaceous material and a pharmaceutical formulation comprising such a virus and/or viral protein.

35 The invention further provides a human cell having a sequence encoding at least one E1 protein of an adenovirus or a

functional derivative, homologue or fragment thereof in its genome, which cell does not produce structural adenoviral proteins and having a nucleic acid encoding a virus or at least one non-adenoviral viral protein. This cell can be used  
5 in a method according to the invention.

In a preferred embodiment the invention provides influenza virus obtainable by a method according to the invention or by a use according to the invention. In another embodiment the invention provides influenza vaccines obtainable by a method  
10 according to the invention or by a use according to the invention.

#### Detailed description.

The present invention discloses a novel human immortalized  
15 cell line for the purpose of propagating and harvesting virus, for production of said virus. PER.C6 cells (WO 97/00326) were generated by transfection of primary human embryonic retina cells, using a plasmid that contained the Ad serotype 5 (Ad5) E1A- and E1B-coding sequences (Ad5  
20 nucleotides 459-3510) under the control of the human phosphoglycerate-kinase (PGK) promoter.

The following features make PER.C6 or a derivative particularly useful as a host for virus production: it is a  
25 fully characterized human cell line, it was developed in compliance with GLP, it can be grown as suspension cultures in defined serum-free medium, devoid of any human or animal serum proteins; its growth is compatible with roller bottles, shaker flasks, spinner flasks and bioreactors, with doubling  
30 times of about 35 hrs;

#### Influenza epidemiology.

Influenza viruses, members of the family of Orthomyxoviridae, are the causative agents of annual epidemics of acute  
35 respiratory disease. In the US alone 50 million Americans get flu each year. Estimated deaths worldwide (1972-1992) are

60.000 (CDC statistics). There have been 3 major cases of pandemics outbreaks of influenza, namely in 1918 (Spanish flu, est. 40 million deaths), in 1957 (Asian flu, est. 1 million deaths), and in 1968 (Hong-Kong flu, est. 700.00  
5 deaths). Infections with influenza viruses are associated with a broad spectrum of illnesses and complications that result in substantial worldwide morbidity and mortality, especially in older people and patients with chronic illness. Vaccination against influenza is most effective in preventing  
10 the often fatal complications associated with this infection (Murphy, B.R and R.G. Webster 1996). The production of influenza virus on the diploid human cell line MRC-5 has been reported (Herrero-Euribe L et al 1983). However, the titers of influenza virus are prohibitively low.

15

#### Strains of Influenza virus

Present day flu vaccines contain purified Hemagglutinin and neuraminidase of influenza virus A and B. The 3 viruses that represent epidemiologically important strains are influenza  
20 A(H1N1), influenza A(H3N2) and influenza B. The division into A and B types is based on antigenic differences between their nucleoprotein (NP) and matrix (M) protein antigen. The influenza A virus is further subdivided into subtypes based on the antigenic composition (sequence) of hemagglutinin (H1-  
25 H15) and neuraminidase (N1-N9) molecules. Representatives of each of these subtypes have been isolated from aquatic birds, which probable are the primordial reservoir of all influenza viruses for avian and mammalian species. Transmission has been shown between pigs and humans and recently (H5N1)  
30 between birds and humans.

#### Influenza vaccines

Three types of inactivated influenza vaccine are currently used in the world: whole virus, split product and surface  
35 antigen or subunit vaccines. These vaccines all contain the surface glycoproteins, haemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase



(NA), of the influenza virus strains that are expected to circulate in the human population in the upcoming season. These strains, which are incorporated in the vaccine, are grown in embryonated hens' eggs, and the viral particles are  
5 subsequently purified before further processing.

The need for the yearly adjustment of influenza vaccines is due to antigen variation caused by processes known as "antigenic drift and shift".

Antigenic drift occurs by the accumulation of a series of  
10 point mutations in either the H or N protein of a virus resulting in amino acid substitutions. These substitutions prevent the binding of neutralizing antibodies, induced by previous infection, and the new variant can infect the host. Antigenic shift is the appearance of a new subtype by genetic  
15 reassortment between animal and human influenza A viruses. The pandemic strains of 1957 (H2N2) and 1968 (H3N2) are examples of reassorted viruses by which avian H and or N genes were introduced in circulating human viruses, which subsequently could spread among the human population.

20 Based on the epidemiological surveys by over hundred National Influenza Centers worldwide, the World Health Organization (WHO) yearly recommends the composition of the influenza vaccine, usually in February for the Northern hemisphere, and  
25 in September for the Southern hemisphere. This practice limits the time window for production and standardization of the vaccine to a maximum of 9 months.

In case of an urgent demand of many doses of vaccine, for example when a novel subtype of influenza A virus arises by  
30 antigenic shift and drift, limited availability of eggs may hamper the rapid production of vaccine. Further disadvantages of this production system are the lack of flexibility, the risk of the presence of toxins and the risks of adventitious viruses, particularly retroviruses, and concerns about  
35 sterility. This presents a serious problem in today's

practice of influenza vaccine production on embryonated hens' eggs.

5 Therefor, the use of a cell culture system for influenza vaccine production would be an attractive alternative. Influenza viruses can be grown on a number of primary cells, including monkey kidney, calf kidney, hamster kidney and chicken kidney. Yet, their use for vaccine production is not practical, because of the need to re-establish cultures from  
10 these primary cells for each preparation of a vaccine. Therefor, the use of continuous cell lines for influenza vaccine production is an attractive alternative.

15 The use of culture systems was facilitated by the realization that the proteolytic cleavage of HA in its two subunits (HA1 and HA2), which is required for influenza virus infectivity, can be obtained by the addition of trypsin. Inclusion of trypsin permits replication and plaque formation in Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells (Tobita K et al 1975).  
20 The MDCK cell line was recently shown to support the growth of influenza virus for vaccine production (Brand R et al 1996, 1997, Palache AM et al 1997). The use of trypsin requires growth of the MDCK cells in serum-free tissue culture medium (MDCK-SF1). However, MDCK cells are currently  
25 not approved as a substrate for production of influenza virus.

However, any non-human system for production of influenza vaccines has an inherent drawback, known as 'adaptation'.  
30 Human influenza A and B virus both carry mutations in the HA, due to adaptation in embryonated hens' eggs. These mutations result in altered antigenicity (Newman RW, et al 1993, Williams SP and Robertson JS, 1993, Robertson JS et al 1994, Gubareva LV et al 1994, Schild GC et al 1993, Robertson JS et al 1987, Kodihalli S et al 1995). In humans, immunization  
35 with vaccines containing an HA bearing an egg-adaption

mutation induces less neutralizing antibody to virus that contains a non-egg adapted HA (Newman et al 1993).

Human influenza viruses propagated in canine cells such as  
5 MDCK cells also show adaptation, albeit to a lesser extent. Such viruses resemble the original human isolates more closely than egg derived viruses (Robertson JS, 1990. et al).

Furthermore there is evidence that host-specific changes in  
10 NA and host-specific phosphorylation patterns of NP can affect the replication of influenza viruses (Schulman JL and Palese P 1977; Sugiara A, Ueda M. 1980; Kistner O et al 1976).

15 Therefor, it would clearly be advantageous to avoid adaptation or other host-induced changes of influenza virus. It may result in a more homogeneous population of viruses and render the ultimate vaccine more effective.

20 It is therefor an object of the present invention to provide human cells as a substrate for the production of high titers of influenza virus, suitable for the development of vaccines.

#### EXAMPLES

25 To illustrate the invention, the following examples are provided, not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

#### PER.C6 Cell banking

Cell line PER.C6 (deposited under No. 96022940 at the  
30 European Collection of Animal Cell Cultures at the Center for Applied Microbiology and Research), or derivatives thereof were used (described in WO 97/00326). Cell lines were banked by a two tier cell bank system. The selected cell line was banked in a research master cell bank (rMCB) which was stored  
35 in different locations. From this rMCB working cell banks were prepared as follows: an ampoule of the rMCB was thawed,

and the cells were propagated until enough cells are present to freeze the cells by using dry ice. 400-500 ampoules containing 1 ml ( $1-2 \times 10^6$  cells/ml) of rWCB were stored in the vapour phase of a liquid nitrogen freezer.

5

#### PER.C6 preculture

One ampoule containing  $5 \times 10^6$  PER.C6 cells of the WCB was thawed in a water bath at 37°C. Cells were rapidly transferred into a 50ml tube and resuspended by adding 9ml of the suspension medium Ex-Cell™ 525 (JRH Biosciences, Denver, Pennsylvania) supplemented with 1 x L-Glutamin. After 3 minutes of centrifugation at 1000 rpm, cells were resuspended in a final concentration of  $3 \times 10^5$  cells/ml and cultured in a T80cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture flask, at 37°C, 10% CO<sub>2</sub>. Two-three days later, cells were seeded into 490cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture roller bottles (Corning Costar Corporation, Cambridge, USA), with a density of  $3 \times 10^5$ /ml and cultured in continuous rotation at 1 RPM.

20

#### PER.C6 and MDCK Cell culture

Madin Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Life Technologies Breda, The Netherlands) containing 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum and 1x L-Glutamin (Gibco-BRL), at 37°C and 10% CO<sub>2</sub>.

25

Suspension cultures of PER.C6™ were cultured in Ex-Cell™ 525 (JRH Biosciences, Denver, Pennsylvania) supplemented with 1 x L-Glutamin, at 37°C and 10% CO<sub>2</sub>, in stationary cultures in 6 well dishes (Greiner, Alphen aan de Rijn, The Netherlands) or in 490cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture roller bottles (Corning Costar Corporation, Cambridge, USA) during continuous rotation at 1 RPM.

35

### Immunofluorescence test

Direct immunofluorescence assays for the detection of influenza virus infection were carried out using the IMAGENTM Influenza Virus A and B kit (DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark)

- 5 according to the standard protocol of the supplier. Samples were viewed microscopically using epifluorescence illumination. Infected cells are characterized by a bright apple-green fluorescence.

### 10 Propidium Iodide staining

Cell pellets were resuspended into 300  $\mu$  of cold PBS -0.5% BSA + 5  $\mu$  of propidium iodide 50  $\mu$ g/ml in PBS - FCS - azide solution. Viable and dead cells were then detected via flow cytofluorometric analysis.

15

### Hemagglutination assay

To 50  $\mu$ /l of two fold diluted virus solutions in PBS, 25 1/4l of a 1% suspension of turkey erythrocytes in PBS was added in 96 well microtiter plates and incubated at 4°C for 1h. The

- 20 hemagglutination pattern was examined, and expressed as hemagglutinating units (HAU). the amount of HAU corresponded to the reciprocal value of the highest virus dilution that showed complete hemagglutination.

- 25 PER.C6 cells as permissive cell line for Influenza A virus  
PER.C6TM is not known for its ability to sustain influenza virus infection and replication. We therefore verified whether PER.C6 cells are permissive for influenza virus infection in comparison with MDCK (Madin Darby Canine Kidney)  
30 cells.

- The day before infection, 2x10<sup>5</sup> MDCK cells/well were seeded in 6-well plates. 24 hours later, 4x10<sup>5</sup> PER.C6/well and MDCK were infected with the H1N1 strain A/Puerto Rico/8/34 (titer 3.6x10<sup>7</sup> pfu/ml), obtained from Dr. Eric Claas, Dept. of  
35 Virology, Leiden University Medical Center, The Netherlands. Infection was performed at various multiplicities of

- infection (moi's) ranging from of 0.1 to 10 pfu/cell. After about 2 hours of incubation at 37°C, the inoculum was removed and replaced by fresh culture medium. A direct immunofluorescence assay for the detection of influenza virus infection was performed 24 and 48 hours post infection. The experiment showed permissivity of PER.C6 for influenza infection, with percentages of positive cells moi-dependent and comparable with MDCK (Table 1).
- 10 PER.C6 cells as cell line for Influenza A virus propagation  
We verified whether replication and propagation of influenza virus are supported by PER.C6. The day of infection, PER.C6 cells were seeded in 490cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture roller bottles, with the density of 2x10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml in a final volume of 40ml, in the presence of 5 µg/ml of trypsin-EDTA (Gibco-BRL). Cells were either, mock inoculated or infected with the H3N2 strain A/Shenzhen/227/95 (titer 1.5x10<sup>6</sup> pfu/ml), a kind gift from Dr. Eric Claas, Dept. of Virology, Leiden University Medical Center, The Netherlands. Infections were performed at moi 10<sup>-4</sup> and 10<sup>-3</sup> pfu/cell. After 1 hour of incubation at 37°C, the inoculum was removed by spinning down the cells at 1,500 rpm and resuspending them in fresh culture medium + 5 (g/ml of trypsin-EDTA. Harvest of 1.3 ml of cell suspension was carried out each day, from day 1 to day 6 post-infection. Supernatants were stored at -80°C and used for hemagglutination assays. Cell pellets were used for direct immunofluorescence tests and for propidium iodide staining. (Figure 2).
- 30 **Permissivity of PER.C6 for influenza strains**  
To further investigate the permissivity of PER.C6 for propagation of various influenza strains, we performed an infection by using the H1N1 vaccine strains A/Beijing/262/95 and its reassortant X-127 obtained from the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (NIBSC), Potters Bar, UK. The day of infection, PER.C6 cells were

seeded in 490cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture roller bottles, with the density of approximately  $1 \times 10^6$  cells/ml in a final volume of 50ml. Cells were inoculated with 5 $\mu$ l (10<sup>-4</sup> dilution) and 50 $\mu$ l (10<sup>-3</sup> dilution) of virus in the presence of 5  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin-  
5 EDTA. In order to establish if trypsin was indeed required, one more infection was carried out by inoculating 5 $\mu$ l of the strain A/Beijing/262/95 in the absence of the protease. After approximately 1 hour of incubation at 37°C, the inoculum was removed by spinning down the cells at 1,500 rpm and  
10 resuspending them in fresh culture medium  $\pm$  5  $\mu$ g/ml of trypsin-EDTA. At day 2 and day 4 post-infection more trypsin was added to the samples. Harvest of 1.3 ml of cell suspension was carried out from day 1 to day 6 post-infection. Supernatants were stored at -80°C and used for  
15 hemagglutination assays and further infections; cell pellets were used for direct immunofluorescence tests. Results obtained with the above mentioned immunofluorescence and hemagglutination assays are shown in fig 4 and fig 5, respectively, illustrating the efficient replication and  
20 release of the viruses.

#### Infectivity of virus propagated on PER.C6

We verified if the viruses grown in PER.C6 were infectious and if adaptation to the cell line could increase virus  
25 yields. Virus supernatants derived from PER.C6 infected with the strains A/Beijing/262/95 and its reassortant X-127 (dil.10<sup>-3</sup>) and harvested at day 6 post-infection, were used. At the day of infection, PER.C6 were seeded in 490cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture roller bottles, with the density of approximately  
30  $1 \times 10^6$  cells/ml in a final volume of 50ml. Cells were inoculated with 100  $\mu$ l and 1 ml of virus supernatant in the presence of 5  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin-EDTA. In order to establish if trypsin was still required, one more infection was carried out by inoculating 100 $\mu$ l of the strain A/Beijing/262/95 in  
35 the absence of the protease. After approximately 1 hour of incubation at 37°C, the inoculum was removed by spinning down

15

the cells at 1,500 rpm and resuspending them in fresh culture medium  $\pm$  5  $\mu$ g/ml of trypsin-EDTA. At day 2 and day 4 post-infection more trypsin was added to the samples. Harvest of 1.3 ml of cell suspension was carried out from day 1 to day 6 post-infection. Supernatants were stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  and used for hemagglutination assays and further infections; cell pellets were used for direct immunofluorescence tests. Results obtained with the above mentioned immunofluorescence and hemagglutination assays are shown in fig 6 and fig 7. Data obtained with the present experiment showed infectivity of the viruses grown in PER.C6 as well as an increase in virus yields.

#### Recovery of virus

15

Intact virus is recovered from the culture medium by ion-exchange chromatography. The virus preparations are further processed to an inactivated surface antigen preparation by formaldehyde inactivation, solubilisation with detergent and ultrafiltration and ultracentrifugation (Bachmayer, H. 1975).

20



## LEGENDS TO THE FIGURES

Fig. 1:

- 5 Percentage of infected cells (positive cells) viewed  
microscopically after immunofluorescence assay versus  
percentage of dead cells measured via FACS after propidium  
iodide staining, at moi's of 10-3 and 10-4.  
Poor viability of the cells from samples derived from  
10 infection at moi 10-3 didn't give rise to reliable data.

Fig. 2:

- Percentage of infected cells viewed microscopically after  
immunofluorescence assay. Samples derived from infection at  
15 moi 10 and 1, at 48h post infection are not shown, because of  
full CPE

Fig. 3: Kinetics of virus propagation measured in

- 20 hemagglutinating units (HAU) from day 1 to day 6 after  
infection.

Fig. 4: Percentage of infected cells (positive cells) viewed  
microscopically after immunofluorescence assay.

25

Fig. 5: Kinetics of virus propagation measured in  
hemagglutinating units (HAU) from day 1 to 6 after infection.

Fig. 6: Percentage of infected cells (positive cells) viewed  
30 microscopically after immunofluorescence assay.

Fig. 7: Kinetics of virus propagation measured in

hemagglutinating units (HAU) from day 2 to 6 after infection.

35

## REFERENCES

- Bachmayer H. Selective solubilisation of hemagglutinin and neuraminidase from influenza virus. Intervirology 1975; 5:260-272.
- Brands R, Palache AM, van Scharrenburg GJM. Madin Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK)-cells for the production of inactivated influenza subunit vaccin. Safety characteristics and clinical results in the elderly. In: Brown LE, Hampson EW, Webster RG, editors. Option for the control of influenza III. Amsterdam Elsevier, 1996. P. 683-693.
- Brands R, Palache AM, van Scharrenburg GJM. Development of influenza subunit vaccine produced using mammalian cell culture technology. In: Carrondo MJT, Griffiths B, Moreira JLP, editors. Animal cell technology: from vaccines to genetic medicine. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997:165-167.
- Gubareva LV, Wood JM, Meyer WJ, Katz JM, Robertson JS, Major D, Webster RG. Codominant mixtures of viruses in strains of influenza virus due to host cell variation. Virol. 1994; 199: 89-97.
- Herrero-Euribe L et al. Replication of Influenza A and B viruses in human diploid cells. J. Gen. Virol. 1983; 64: 471-475.
- Kodihalli S, Justewicz DM, Gubareva LV, Webster RG. Selection of a single amino acid substitution in the hemagglutinin molecule by chicken eggs can render influenza A virus (H3) candidate vaccine ineffective. J. Virol. 1995;69:4888-4897.

Kirstner O, Muller K, Scholtissek C. Differential phosphorylation of the nucleoprotein of influenza A viruses. J. Gen. Virol. 19989;70:2421-2431.

- 5 Murphy BR and Webster RG. Orthomyxoviruses. In: Fields Virology, chapter 46, 1997. Eds. B.N. Fields, D.M. Knipe, P.M. Howley, et al. Lippincott-Raven Publishers, Philadelphia 1996.

- 10 Newman RW, Jennings R, Major DL, Robertson JS, Jenkins R, Potter CW, Burnett I, Jewes L, Anders M, Jackson D, Oxford JS. Immune response of human volunteers and animals to vaccination with egg grown influenza A (H1N1) virus is influenced by three amino acid substitutions in the hemagglutinin molecule. Vaccine 1993;11:400-406.

- 20 Palache AM, Brands R, van Scharrenburg GJM. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of influenza subunit vaccines produced in MDCK cells or fertilized chicken eggs. J. Infect. Dis. 1977;176:S20-S23.

- Robertson JS, Cook P, Nicolson C, Newman R, Wood JM. Mixed populations in influenza vaccine strains. Vaccine 1994; 12:1317-1320.

- 25 Robertson JS, Bootman JS, Nicolson C, Mjor D, Robertson EW, Wood JM. The hemagglutinin of influenza B virus present in clinical material is a single species identical to that of mammalian cell grown-virus. Virol. 1990; 179:35-40

- 30 Robertson JS, Bootman JS, Newman R, Oxford JS, Daniels RS, Webster RG, Schild GC. Structural changes in the hemagglutinin which accompany egg adaptation of an influenza A(H1N1) virus. Virol. 1987;160:31-37.

Schild GC, Oxford JS, de Jong JC, Webster RG. Evidence for host-cell selection of influenza virus antigenic variants. Nature 1983; 303:706-709.

- 5 Schulman JL, Palese P. Virulence factors of influenza A viruses: WSN virus neuraminidase required for plaque production in MDBK cells. J. Virol. 1977;24:170-176.

- 10 Sugiara A, Ueda M. Neurovirulence of influenza virus in mice. I. Neurovirulence of recombinants between virulent and avirulent virus strains. Virol 1980;101:440-449.,495,271).

- 15 Tobita K, Sugiura A, Enomoto C, Furuyama M. Plaque assay and primary isolation of influenza A viruses in an established line of canine Kidney cells (MDCK) in the presence of trypsin. Med.Microbiol. Immunol. 1975;162:9-14.

- 20 Williams SP, Robertson JS. Analysis of restriction to the growth of non-egg-adapted human influenza in eggs. Virol. 1993;196:660665.